

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

LAWRENCE STEVEN BRANTLEY, JR.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) **CIVIL ACTION NO. SA-23-CA-680-FB**
)
DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY AND)
PROTECTIVE SERVICES (DFPS),)
OFFICIAL CAPACITY; DINA)
SCHIEVELBEIN, (DFPS) SUPERVISOR)
III (CPS), INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY;)
WALLACE TARVER, ATTORNEY,)
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY; DELIA)
LONGORIA, CPS SPECIALIST,)
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY; ANGELICA)
JIMENEZ, JUDGE, 408TH CIVIL)
DISTRICT COURT, BEXAR COUNTY,)
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY; ALYSSA)
MILLER, CHILD PROTECTIVE)
SERVICES, SPECIALIST, INDIVIDUAL)
CAPACITY; VALERIA DIAZ, CHILD)
PROTECTIVE SERVICES,)
SUPERVISOR, INDIVIDUAL)
CAPACITY; AND ERICA BRYANT,)
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT (DFPS),)
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY,)
)
Defendants.)

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Before the Court are the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (docket no. 3) recommending that this case be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) as time-barred, along with Plaintiff's written objections (docket no. 8) thereto.

Where no party has objected to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court need not conduct a de novo review of the Report and Recommendation. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A

judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings and recommendations to which objection is made."). In such cases, the Court need only review the Report and Recommendation and determine whether it is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989).

On the other hand, any Report and Recommendation to which objection is made requires de novo review by the Court. Such a review means that the Court will examine the entire record, and will make an independent assessment of the law. The Court need not, however, conduct a de novo review when the objections are frivolous, conclusive, or general in nature. *Battle v. United States Parole Comm'n*, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

The Court has thoroughly analyzed Plaintiff's submission in light of the entire record. As required by Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), the Court has conducted an independent review of the entire record in this cause and has conducted a de novo review with respect to those matters raised by the objections. After due consideration, the Court concludes the objections lack merit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (docket no. 3) is ACCEPTED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) such that this case is DISMISSED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) as time barred and for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 26th day of July, 2023.



FRED BIERY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE